arXiv:hep-th/9912255v5 30 Mar 2001

hep-th/9912255
UT-KOMABA/99-20
December 1999

Spontaneously Broken Space-Time Supersymmetry in Open String Theory without GSO Projection

TAMIAKI YONEYA [*]

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo
Komaba, Meguro-ku, 153 Tokyo

Abstract

We argue that orientable open string theory without GSO projection has N=2 space-time supersymmetry in a spontaneously broken phase. The arguments are presented both in Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond and Green-Schwarz formulations. The formal but explicit supersymmetry transformation law for string field is given in the framework of Witten's open string field theory. Our results support a fundamental assumption which lies behind the topological construction of stable D-branes starting from the unstable systems of D9-branes.

---

[*] e-mail address: `tam@hep1.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp`

1. Introduction

One of the crucial observations in the development of superstring theory has been the discovery of the so-called GSO projection [1] for realizing the space-time supersymmetry. The GSO projection plays dual roles in superstring theory: It eliminates tachyons and simultaneously makes the number of space-time bosonic and fermionic physical excitations equal at each mass level. In the most recent developments of string theory associated with various string dualities and D-branes, the space-time supersymmetry and GSO projection are playing indispensable roles. For example, the BPS property of D-branes is a direct consequence of the GSO projection.

On the other hand, from the early days of string theory, the condensation of tachyon in the theories without GSO projection has been among several fundamental dynamical questions which have long been resisting our exploration. Recently, in connection with the problem of constructing stable D-branes in unstable D-brane systems, interests on the tachyon condensation [2] have been revived. The general topological characterization of D-branes has been given based on the K-theoretical interpretation [3][4] of unstable D-brane systems. The systems of unstable D-branes are realized as various open string theories with mixed (namely, combined ordinary and opposite) GSO projections or without the projection at all. Undoubtedly, formulating the dynamics of such systems must be among future cornerstones for the development of non-perturbative string/M theory towards the ultimate unified theory.

A simple and fundamental example among systems without supersymmetry is unstable D9-branes [4] in type IIA theory. This system, in a low energy approximation, may be approximated by 10 dimensional Yang-Mills theory coupled with tachyon and with vector-like Majorana fermions. If the stable D-branes are to be realized as topological excitations with BPS property resulting from the condensation of tachyon, the system should have a hidden supersymmetry, perhaps as a nonlinear realization, in a spontaneously broken phase. This is a basic assumption behind recent descriptions of unstable D-brane systems using tachyons. For example, approaches to world-volume actions [5] for unstable D-branes and the condensation of tachyon [6] have been proposed along this line. However, no concrete evidence justifying this assumption from the viewpoint of the dynamics of open strings has been given. The purpose of the present work is to fill this gap by providing some positive arguments in support of this conjecture. Since any possible models for the dynamics of such systems already contain the string length parameter $\ell_s = \sqrt{\alpha'}$ as the inverse tachyon mass, any local-field theory approximation cannot be regarded as a systematic way of dealing with the problem except for discussing certain long-distance behaviors. In general, we have to take into account all mass levels from the outset.

After presenting some elementary arguments for spontaneously broken symmetries of string theory in next two sections, we investigate in section 4 the case of space-time supersymmetry first in the NSR formalism. Then we argue that the same results are obtained in the Green-Schwarz formalism as well. In section 5, we proceed to investigate the formal supersymmetry transformation law in the framework of Witten's open superstring field theory. We conclude in section 6 by making some remarks. We will also briefly discuss how the linear supersymmery could be recovered in certain nonperturbative vacua.

2. Evidence for spontaneously broken space-time supersymmetry

Let us start from collecting some pieces of qualitative evidence for the existence of space-time supersymmetry without the GSO projection in order to motivate our discussions in later sections. Since, without the projection, the number of physical particle degrees of freedom are not equal between fermions and bosons at fixed mass level, we naturally expect that the tachyonic vacuum must break supersymmetry spontaneously even if supersymmetry is actually a symmetry of the theory. Is there any evidence for this? First of all, there must exist Goldstone fermions. Since the Ramond sector indeed contains massless fermions as ground state, this is possible. Let us first briefly study the coupling of tachyon with massless fermions to get some flavor on how such an interpretation should look like.

Without the GSO projection, the massless fermions appear as a pair of opposite chiralities. Let them be $\psi_\pm$ ($\gamma_{11}\psi_\pm = \pm\psi_\pm$), and tachyon be T. Then the field equation for fermions are of the form

$$\gamma \cdot \partial\psi_\pm = gT\psi_\mp + O(T^2\psi_\pm) + \cdots \tag{2.1}$$

where we have suppressed the coupling with the massless gauge fields. The natural candidate for the supercurrent is

$$s^{\mu}_{\pm} \equiv \gamma^{\mu}\psi_{\pm} + ag(\partial^{\mu}T)\psi_{\mp} + bgT\partial^{\mu}\psi_{\mp} + O(g^2T^2\psi_{\pm}) + \cdots . \tag{2.2}$$

Note that we have taken into account the conservation of G-parity in Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond open string theory in writing down these expressions, and a, b are constants to be determined. g is the coupling constant of open strings. The usual string coupling of closed strings g_s is proportional to the square of g. The first term which is linear in the massless fermions is the signature of Goldstone fermions and of the nonlinear realization of supersymmetry. Taking the divergence of the would-be supercurrent leads to

$$\partial \cdot s_{\pm} = \gamma \cdot \partial\, \psi_{\pm} + ag\Big(\partial^2 T\, \psi_{\mp} + (\partial_{\mu}T)\partial^{\mu}\psi_{\mp}\Big) + bg\Big((\partial_{\mu}T)\partial^{\mu}\psi_{\mp} + T\partial^2\psi_{\mp}\Big) + \cdots . \tag{2.3}$$

Now using the tachyon equation of motion

$$\partial^2 T = -\frac{1}{\alpha'}T + O(g^2T^3) + \cdots \tag{2.4}$$

together with the fermion equation of motion, we find that the choice $a = \alpha' = -b$ leads to the conservation of the supercurrent up to the order $O(T^2)$

$$\partial \cdot s_{\pm} = O(g^2T^2) + \cdots \tag{2.5}$$

By requiring that the fermion equation is consistent with the conservation of supercurrent to the next order $O(g^2T^2)$, we find that the order $O(g^2T^2\psi)$ term in the fermion equation and the current are

$$\alpha'(1+2c)g^2(T\partial_{\mu}T)\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{\pm}, \quad -\alpha' c g^2T^2\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{\pm} \tag{2.6}$$

respectively, where c is an undetermined constant. Of course, we have to include the gauge fields and all the higher excitations to achieve a really consistent construction as well as more complicated nonlinear interaction terms. However, this argument serves as a guide on what we should expect. For example, the result (2.6) suggests that the condensation of tachyon such that $g^2cT^2 = 1/\alpha'$ recovers the linear supersymmetry by eliminating the inhomogeneous term (and hence also the original kinetic terms of them in the action) in the supercurrent.

The existence of spontaneously broken supersymmetry when all massive levels are taken into account is suggested by the following observation, which, to the author's knowledge, has never been mentioned in the literature although it is implicitly contained in a familiar formula. For a wide class of local field theory models with supersymmetry, it is well known that the tree-level mass levels after the spontaneous breakdown of supersymmetry satisfy the trace formula [7] for the mass square operator M^2 ($(-1)^F = 1$ or-1 for bosons and fermions, respectively)

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big((-1)^F M^2\Big) = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

where the trace $\mathrm{Tr}(\cdot)$ is taken over all physical one-particle states. This of course reduces to $\mathrm{tr}((-1)^F) = 0$, the trace "tr" now being taken at each *fixed* mass level, if the supersymmetry is realized linearly without spontaneous breaking.

Let us check whether similar relations among different mass levels are valid in open string theory with tachyon. In string theory containing states of infinitely large masses, it is natural to define regularized traces corresponding to this quantity by

$$A_n \equiv \lim_{\tau\to 0} \mathrm{Tr}\Big((-1)^F M^{2n} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau M^2}\Big) = (-1)^n \lim_{\tau\to 0} \frac{d^n}{d\tau^n} Z(\tau), \tag{2.8}$$

$$Z(\tau) = \mathrm{Tr}\Big((-1)^F \mathrm{e}^{-\tau M^2}\Big). \tag{2.9}$$

In open NSR string theory, we have

$$Z(\tau) = \mathrm{Tr}_{NS}\Big(q^{2N_{NS}-1}\Big) - \mathrm{Tr}_R\Big(q^{2N_R}\Big) \tag{2.10}$$

where $q = \mathrm{e}^{-\tau/2\alpha'}$ and N_{NS}, N_R are the level operators for the NS sector and R sector, respectively.

$$N_{NS} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n}\alpha_n + \sum_{r=1/2}^{\infty} r b_{-r} b_r, \tag{2.11}$$

$$N_R = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n}\alpha_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n d_{-n} d_n. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that there is no GSO projection in taking trace in (2.10) and we have suppressed the transverse spatial indices for the creation-annihilation operators. Since the GSO projected pieces in this trace cancel between the NS and R sector owing to the well-known Jacobi's '*abstrusa*' identity, it is sufficient to retain only the contribution from the oppositely projected pieces. We then have

$$Z(\tau)=\frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{2n})^8}\Big(\frac{1}{2q}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+q^{2n-1})^8+\frac{1}{2q}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{2n-1})^8-\frac{1}{2}16\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+q^{2n})^8\Big). \tag{2.13}$$

The + sign in front of the second term in this expression indicates the opposite GSO projection. Because of this, a negative power term q^{-1} is not canceled, corresponding to the existence of tachyon in the NS sector. To study the short time behavior $\tau \to 0$, we perform Jacobi transformation $q = \mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sigma} \to q = \mathrm{e}^{-\pi/\sigma}$ $(\sigma = \tau/2\pi\alpha')$ using theta function identities, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tau) = &\ \frac{\sigma^4}{2}\frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-e^{-2n\pi/\sigma})^8}\times \qquad (2.14)\\ &\Big(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi}{\sigma}}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+e^{-(2n-1)\pi/\sigma})^8+16\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+\mathrm{e}^{-2n\pi/\sigma})^8-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi}{\sigma}}\prod_{n=1}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-(2n-1)\pi/\sigma})^8\Big). \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic behavior in the short time limit $\sigma \to 0$ is

$$Z(\tau)\to\frac{\sigma^4}{2}\Big(16+16+(256+256)\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi/\sigma}+O(\mathrm{e}^{-4\pi/\sigma})\Big). \tag{2.15}$$

If the ordinary GSO projection were used, we would instead have $16-16$, $256-256$, and so on, in this expression. As is well known [8], the "$16+16$"-contribution corresponds to the massless NS-NS + R-R fields in the closed-string channel. If we sum over the GSO projected and oppositely projected parts, only the states in the NS-NS sector remain, showing that there is no R-R charges exchanged in the t-channel.

Now the above formula clearly shows that

$$A_n = 0, \quad (n \neq 4). \tag{2.16}$$

Thus the graded (regularized) traces over all mass levels are almost all zero except for $n = 4$ in NSR open string theory. This should be regarded as a generalization of the mass formula (2.7) for spontaneously broken supersymmetry in local field theory to open superstring theory with the tachyonic vacuum. The fact that A_n's vanish for arbitrary large n suggests that the possible nonlinear supersymmetry could only be realized when all mass levels are taken into account.

We note that the result (2.16) is essentially a direct consequence of the s-channel-t-channel duality and that, in the closed-string (t) channel, the ordinary GSO projection is automatic if we include both NS and R sectors in the open string (s) channel. Thus there is no problem of nonlocality on the world sheet even if we do not make the GSO projection in the open-string channel. Otherwise, we could not have assumed the unprojected (or oppositely projected) open string theories consistently as describing the unstable D-branes in superstring theory.

3. Nonlinear symmetries in string theory

Before proceeding to further discussions on spontaneously broken supersymmetry, let us here recall how the nonlinear symmetry transformations and associated spontaneous symmetry breaking can be realized in string theory in its general world-sheet formulation. In the present paper, for brevity of terminology, we call any symmetry transformation with inhomogeneous term 'nonlinear' symmetry. The ordinary gauge transformation and also the general coordinate transformation are typical examples for this phenomena.

One of the characteristic features of space-time symmetries exhibited in physical scattering amplitudes of string theory is that they are always associated with the corresponding currents on the world sheet. Take as an instructive example the gauge transformation of an open string state for which the massless vector state just plays the role of gauge field. In the world-sheet picture, this corresponds to the existence of the following world-sheet current

$$j^a_\lambda(\xi) \equiv \epsilon^{ab}\partial_b\lambda(x(\xi)), \tag{3.1}$$

where we have only considered the bosonic part for simplicity and $\lambda(x)$ is an arbitrary massless external scalar field. $(\xi^0, \xi^1) = (\tau, \sigma)$ are the world-sheet coordinates ($0 \leq \sigma \leq \pi$). Here and in what follows the vector indices $a, b, \ldots$ correspond to world sheet and those $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ to target space-time. All world-sheet local operators should be understood as normal-order products. To make the following manipulations well-defined after the normal ordering we have to impose the usual on-shell conditions. Now it is obvious that although the current is conserved locally $\partial_a j^a_A = 0$ on the world sheet, the charge

$q_\lambda = \int d\sigma j^0_\lambda$ is not conserved for Neumann open strings.

$$\frac{dq_\lambda(\tau)}{d\tau} = \pi p^\mu(\tau,\sigma)\partial_\mu\lambda(x(\tau,\sigma))\Big|_{\sigma=0}^{\sigma=\pi} \tag{3.2}$$

where $p^\mu = \frac{1}{\pi}\partial_0 x$ is the canonical momentum on the world sheet (our unit is $\alpha' = 1/2$). Note that the violation of charge conservation is proportional to the vertex operator for the massless vector state.[†] If some of the directions obey the Dirichlet condition, the violation of charge conservation only comes from Neumann directions. On the other hand, the charge itself is given as

$$q_\lambda(\tau) = \lambda(x(\xi))\Big|_{\sigma=0}^{\sigma=\pi}. \tag{3.3}$$

Namely, the charge generates the phase gauge transformation of the string wave function

$$\Psi(\tau) \to \mathrm{e}^{-iq_\lambda(\tau)}\Psi(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{i(\lambda(x(\tau,0))-\lambda(x(\tau,\pi)))}\Psi(\tau). \tag{3.4}$$

The equation (3.2) shows that we have to make a shift of the on-shell external gauge field ($\partial^2 A_\mu = 0, \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$) corresponding to the massless vector excitations

$$\delta A_\mu(x) = \partial_\mu\lambda(x), \tag{3.5}$$

in order to compensate the violation of the conservation law at the string boundaries.

This trivial example tells us that in string theory in general we cannot exclude the violation of symmetry occurring at the string boundary, *provided that the violation is proportional to physical vertex operators* and hence is compensated by the insertion of the vertex operators. Actually, to include the symmetry associated with the closed-string states, this must be further generalized to the situation [10] where the current is not conserved even locally on the world sheet, but the violation is proportional to vertex operators of closed strings. The general coordinate symmetry and gauge transformation corresponding to the antisymmetric NS-NS tensor belong to this latter class. The currents corresponding to them are

$$T_a = v_\mu(x)\partial_a x^\mu \tag{3.6}$$

[†] Note that in the covariant operator language, the violation of charge conservation is measured by the commutator with the Virasoro (or BRST) operators. To the present author's knowledge, the derivation of nonlinear gauge and space-time symmetries in string theory from this point of view was first discussed in ref. [9].

and

$$B^a = \epsilon^{ab} u_\mu(x) \partial_b x^\mu, \tag{3.7}$$

respectively, where u_μ, v_ν are arbitrary on-shell divergenceless-and-massless vector fields. Their divergences, by the world-sheet equation of motion, are

$$\partial_a T^a = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\nu v_\mu + \partial_\mu v_\nu) \partial^a x^\nu \partial_a x^\mu, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\partial_a B^a = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\nu v_\mu - \partial_\mu v_\nu) \epsilon^{ab} \partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu. \tag{3.9}$$

Since the divergences are proportional to the on-shell gauge transformation

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu v_\mu + \partial_\mu v_\nu, \quad \delta b_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu v_\mu - \partial_\mu v_\nu$$

of the graviton and NS-NS antisymmetric tensor and hence are compensated by the on-shell gauge transformation of these fields, the above currents give the symmetry of string theory. Indeed the charges are nothing but the generator of general coordinate (in the sense of target space-time) and string-shape dependent phase transformations ($|\psi\rangle \to \exp i \int d\sigma b_\mu(x(\sigma)) \partial_\sigma x^\mu(\sigma) |\psi\rangle$), respectively. We also remark that in the case of open strings the current corresponding to general coordinate transformation has no extra violation at Neumann boundaries, while the conservation of the NS-NS antisymmetric tensor-gauge charge is violated at Neumann boundaries. It is a common knowledge that the latter violation is compensated by the shift of the vector gauge field $\delta A_\mu = \pi u_\mu$ which couples at the boundary. This is the origin of a now familiar relationship between Dirac-Born-Infeld action and the non-commutative Yang-Mills theory [11].

The reason why we come to this seemingly self-evident digression is that these examples contain the case of the symmetries which are spontaneously broken in the ordinary perturbative vacua. Take the first example for definiteness. If we consider the gauge function $\lambda(x) = c_\mu x^\mu$ which is linear in the coordinate, the gauge transformation of the gauge field is nothing but the constant shift of the field

$$\delta A_\mu = c_\mu, \tag{3.10}$$

which is spontaneously broken in perturbative vacua. It is well known that the massless gauge bosons, including photon and graviton, on the perturbative vacuum can be regarded as the Goldstone bosons associated with this phenomena. One important remark here is that since the constant vector c_μ is not constrained, all components of the constant vector is in a sense physical. Thus at vanishing momentum the number of physical states are increased. This is nothing but the phenomenon of 'discrete' physical states and is the signature of the existence of spontaneously broken symmetries associated with local space-time symmetries. Remember that the gauge transformations with gauge functions that do not vanish at infinity can in general be spontaneously broken. Almost the same apply to the cases of constant metric and constant antisymmetric field $B_{\mu\nu}$. In the former, the transformation is a general GL(10, R) coordinate transformation, while in the latter the transformation corresponds to a linear vector gauge function $b_\mu = B_{\mu\nu}x^\nu$ and a linear shift of vector gauge field $A_\mu = B_{\mu\nu}x^\nu$.

4. Nonlinear supersymmetry in NSR and GS open string theories

We now study the space-time supersymmetry in light of the above observations. Our goal is to show that the massless fermion states of open string theory can be regarded as the Goldstone fermions associated with the spontaneously broken supersymmetry in the tachyonic vacua.

4.1 The NSR formalism

In the NSR formalism, the charge corresponding to space-time supersymmetry is the integral of fermion emission vertex at zero-momentum. Using the standard result of [12], it is

$$Q_\alpha = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} V^F_\alpha\,, \tag{4.1}$$

$$V^F_\alpha(z) = \lim_{k\to 0} S_\alpha(z)\mathrm{e}^{-\phi(z)/2}\mathrm{e}^{ikx(z)}, \tag{4.2}$$

where we are using the $-1/2$ picture and α of the world-sheet spin operator S_α is the 32 components SO(10) space-time spinor index. The scalar field $\phi(z)$ corresponds to the standard bosonized representation of the superghosts $(\gamma, \beta) = (\mathrm{e}^{\phi}\eta, \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}\partial\xi)$. In the open-string notation, the components of the world-sheet current $s^a(\tau,\sigma)$ corresponding to the supercharge (4.1) ($Q_\alpha = \int_0^\pi d\sigma s^0_\alpha$) is

$$s^0_\alpha(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}(S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau-\sigma) + S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau+\sigma)), \tag{4.3}$$

$$s^1_\alpha(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}(S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau-\sigma) - S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau+\sigma)). \tag{4.4}$$

Here we have formally shifted to the convention of the world-sheet Minkowski metric for comparison with the convention of the previous section. However, in considering the OPE on the world-sheet, we always assume the Euclidean convention.

The usual argument [12] for the GSO projection comes from the multi-valuedness of the spin operator. Namely, the operator product expansion with the world-sheet Neveu-Schwarz field $\psi^\mu(z)$ is

$$\psi^\mu(z)S_\alpha(w) \sim (z-w)^{-1/2}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}S^\beta(w) + \cdots, \ \mathit{etc.} \tag{4.5}$$

In closed-string theory, this forces us to project out the states with odd G-parity in the NS sector, and simultaneously the half of states classified by the generalized chirality operator in the R-sector as required by the operator product (4.5), in order to ensure the integrability of the fermion-emission vertex operators on the Riemann surface. As is well known, after including the ghost contributions, the G-parity and the generalized chirality operators which we call 'fermionic G-parity', are given, in terms of the oscillators, by

$$G = -(-1)^{\sum_{r=1/2}^{\infty}(d_{-r}d_r + \beta_{-r}\gamma_r - \gamma_{-r}\beta_r)}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\Gamma = \gamma_{11}(-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{-n}d_n + \beta_{-n}\gamma_n - \gamma_{-n}\beta_n + \beta_0\gamma_0}. \tag{4.7}$$

In open string theory, however, the projection is not completely mandatory since the open string vertex operators are inserted only at the boundary of world sheets. The on-shell closed string states which appear by the factorization of the world-sheet Riemann surface with such vertex operators for open string states inserted at the boundaries are automatically GSO projected, provided we include both NS and R states, as exemplified by the partition function treated in section 2. There appear no tachyonic states in closed-string channel.

Now if we include the oppositely GSO-projected states in open-string theory, the world-sheet supercharge can still formally be defined by

$$Q_\alpha(\tau) = \int_0^\pi d\sigma\, s^0_\alpha(\tau,\sigma), \tag{4.8}$$

by choosing a particular Riemann sheet. Then, the charge is not in general conserved, but the current is conserved locally on the world sheet and possible violation of charge conservation comes only from the open-string boundaries.

$$\frac{dQ_\alpha(\tau)}{d\tau} = -\int_0^\pi d\sigma\,\partial_1 s^1_\alpha(\tau,\sigma) = -S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau-\pi) + S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau+\pi). \tag{4.9}$$

The right hand side does not vanish in general since the operator $S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}$ can be double-valued. In that case, $S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau-\pi) - S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau+\pi) \rightarrow 2S_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}(\tau-\pi)$ which is itself proportional to fermion emission vertex at the string end point ($\sigma = \pi$) with zero momentum. The cases where double-valuedness occurs can be classified in two classes: First, when the supercurrent acts on bosonic states (NS sector), the double-valuedness occurs if and only if the states are oppositely GSO projected, independently on the fermionic G-parity Γ of the supercurrent. Secondly, when the supercurrent acts on fermionic states (R-sector), it occurs if and only if the fermionic G-parities of the supercurrent and that of the states are mutually opposite. In the first case, the fermionic G-parity of the states obtained after the action of the supercurrent is opposite to that of the supercurrent, whereas in the second case the bosonic states are always oppositely projected. This means that these two cases are consistent. Namely, both corresponds to 3-point vertices with 2 fermions and 1 boson in which the fermionic G-parities of two fermion lines are flipped with the emission of one odd G-parity boson.

The violation of supercharge conservation, being compensated by the condensation of constant massless fermion states, can be interpreted in the same way as in the case of the spontaneous breaking of the gauge symmetry corresponding to the constant shift of the vector gauge fields. Thus, the supersymmetry is broken spontaneously. In terms of oscillator modes, the supersymmetry transformation mixes all mass levels and cannot in general be truncated into multiplets of finite dimensions. The reason is that the natural moding of the supercurrent density for double valued states are half-integer expansion. But the supercharge is still defined by the integral (4.8) with no half-integer exponential factor. If we try to represent the symmetry transformation in terms of massless excitations by eliminating all the massive levels, the symmetry transformation is literally nonlinearly realized.

We also note that at zero-momentum there is no Dirac equation for the wave functions which are contracted with the spinor index of the supercurrent. Hence, the number of degrees for the constant shift of the massless fermion fields is doubled, comparing with the on-shell physical states obtained as the zero-momentum limit of the massless fermions with non-zero momentum: $8+8 \to 16+16$. The corresponding Goldstone fermions are just the vector-like massless fermion with both chiralities. In conclusion, there exists a nonlinearly realized $N=2$ (IIA-type) space-time supersymmetry in 10 space-time dimensions.

4.2 The GS formalism

We next study how the above situation is described in the Green-Schwarz formalism, since it is usually more suitable for realizing the space-time supersymmetry. In order to do this, it is useful to first reconsider the partition function which we have discussed in section 2. Jacobi's identity leads to the following identity for the partition function of the oppositely projected states.

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{2n})^8}\Big(\frac{1}{2q}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+q^{2n-1})^8+\frac{1}{2q}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{2n-1})^8-\frac{1}{2}16\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+q^{2n})^8\Big)$$
$$=\frac{1}{q}\frac{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{2n-1})^8}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{2n})^8}. \tag{4.10}$$

What is the interpretation of the right hand side? The answer is that the expression is nothing but the partition function for the GS open string theory with the '*wrong*' boundary condition, which violates the manifest (*i.e.* linear) space-time supersymmetry but is a perfectly valid condition from the viewpoint of the pure world-sheet field theory. Indeed the prefactor q^{-1} corresponds to the Casimir energy that is not cancelled between bosons and fermions because of the half-integer moding of the spinor coordinates forced by the 'wrong' (namely, anti-periodic) boundary condition. The infinite product factor in the numerator is nothing but the contribution from the excitation modes of the spinor coordinates, with the minus sign being the space-time fermion grading. Note that there is no zero modes for the spinor coordinates, due to the anti-periodic boundary condition. The conservation of the world-sheet current corresponding to the space-time supersymmetry is only violated at the open-string boundaries, so that we can again interpret this as an indication of the spontaneously broken supersymmetry.

Let us discuss more details. Unfortunately, in the GS formalism, the quantization is only practicable in the light-cone gauge . Because of this, the following discussion is somewhat limited, but it provides reasonable evidence that the same results as in the NSR formalism are valid in the GS formalism. For some aspects, the latter is more transparent in treating the NS and R sectors on equal footing.

Let us briefly recapitulate the ordinary formulation of the light-cone GS string [13][15]. We will mostly follow the convention of [15]. The light-cone gauge action is

$$A_{light-cone} = \int d\tau d\sigma \Big(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_a X^i \partial^a X^i + \frac{i}{4\pi}\overline{S}\gamma^- \rho^a \partial_a S \Big) \tag{4.11}$$

Here space-time vector index i runs only over the transverse directions, and S denotes two Majorana-Weyl space-time spinors as fermion fields on the world sheet satisfying the light-cone gauge condition,

$$\gamma^+ S = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

The two-component index n of $S_{\alpha n}$ with respect to world-sheet, being acted upon by the two-dimensional Dirac matrices (ρ^0, ρ^1), is behaving as a two-dimensional spinor because of the gauge fixing of the local κ symmetry of the covariant action [14] and hence $\overline{S} = S\gamma^0\rho^0$. The (space-time) supersymmetry transformation is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta X^i &= (p^+)^{-1/2}\overline{\epsilon}\gamma^i S, & (4.13)\\ \delta S &= i(p^+)^{-1/2}\gamma_-\gamma_\mu \rho\cdot\partial X^\mu \epsilon. & (4.14)\end{aligned}$$

In the transformation of the spinor S, X^- does not appear and $\partial_0 X^+ = p^+$, due to the light-cone gauge conditions. The world-sheet supercurrents corresponding to this transformation is

$$s^a_\alpha = \gamma_\mu(\rho\cdot\partial X^\mu)\rho^a S_\alpha. \tag{4.15}$$

Note that the currents do not satisfy the light-cone condition (4.12).

We have to impose the boundary condition that identifies the two (world-sheet) components of the field S in order to ensure the world-sheet energy-momentum conservation at the boundary. The usual condition which keeps the space-time supersymmetry manifestly is

$$S_{\alpha 1}(\tau, 0) = S_{\alpha 2}(\tau, 0), \quad S_{\alpha 1}(\tau, \pi) = S_{\alpha 2}(\tau, \pi). \tag{4.16}$$

Thus the number of independent components of spinor coordinates are 8 which belongs to the irreducible spinor representation $\mathbf{8}_s$ of SO(8) and are represented by a single periodic holomorphic spinor coordinate field $S_A(\tau-\sigma)=S_A(\tau-\sigma+2\pi)$ defined as

$$S_A(\tau-\sigma)=S_{\alpha 1}(\tau,\sigma) \text{ for } 0\leq\sigma\leq\pi, \quad S_A(\tau-\sigma)=S_{\alpha 2}(\tau,2\pi-\sigma) \text{ for } \pi\leq\sigma\leq 2\pi. \tag{4.17}$$

We denote the SO(8) spinor indices corresponding to representations $(\mathbf{8}_s,\mathbf{8}_c)$ by $(A,\dot{A})$. Note that an SO(10) chiral spinor with 16 components now consists of a direct sum of two irreducible spinor representations $\mathbf{8}_s+\mathbf{8}_c$, namely, $\alpha\sim(A,\dot{A})$, of which $\mathbf{8}_c\,(\sim\dot{A})$ are dependent components. Similarly, an opposite chirality (in the sense of 10 dimensions) spinor consists of the representations $\mathbf{8}_c+\mathbf{8}_s$, $\alpha\sim(\dot{A},A)$ with $\mathbf{8}_s\sim A$ being now the on-shell dependent components with non-zero momentum.

The independent world-sheet supercharges corresponding to the above supersymmetry transformation are then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_A(\tau) &= (2p^+)^{1/2}\int_0^\pi d\sigma(S_A(\tau-\sigma)+S_A(\tau+\sigma)), && (4.18)\\ Q_{\dot{A}}(\tau) &= (p^+)^{-1/2}\gamma^i_{B\dot{A}}\int_0^\pi d\sigma(p^i(\tau-\sigma)S_B(\tau-\sigma)+p^i(\tau+\sigma)S_B(\tau+\sigma)) && (4.19)\end{aligned}$$

where $p^i(\tau-\sigma)$ is the usual transverse orbital momentum operator with mode expansion $p^i(\tau-\sigma)=\sum_n\alpha_n\mathrm{e}^{-in(\tau-\sigma)}$, again momentarily returning to world-sheet Minkowski notation. $\gamma^i_{A\dot{B}}$ are the 8×8 SO(8) matrices satisfying $\gamma^i_{A\dot{B}}\gamma^j_{\dot{B}C}+\gamma^j_{A\dot{B}}\gamma^i_{\dot{B}C}=2\delta^{ij}\delta_{AC}$ with $\gamma^i_{\dot{B}A}$ being the transpose of $\gamma^i_{A\dot{B}}$. The world-sheet supercurrents are correspondingly decomposed into

$$\begin{aligned} s^0_A(\tau) &= (2p^+)^{1/2}(S_A(\tau-\sigma)+S_A(\tau+\sigma)), && (4.20)\\ s^1_A(\tau) &= (2p^+)^{1/2}(S_A(\tau-\sigma)-S_A(\tau+\sigma)), && (4.21)\\ s^0_{\dot{A}}(\tau) &= (p^+)^{-1/2}\gamma^i_{B\dot{A}}(p^i(\tau-\sigma)S_B(\tau-\sigma)+p^i(\tau+\sigma)S_B(\tau+\sigma)), && (4.22)\\ s^1_{\dot{A}}(\tau) &= (p^+)^{-1/2}\gamma^i_{B\dot{A}}(p^i(\tau-\sigma)S_B(\tau-\sigma)-p^i(\tau+\sigma)S_B(\tau+\sigma)). && (4.23)\end{aligned}$$

The supersymmetry charges are conserved since the spinor coordinates $S_A(\tau-\sigma)$ are periodic. The spectrum of this theory precisely reproduces that of the GSO projected states of NSR open strings. There exists a 10 dimensional space-time symmetry ($N=1$) with 16 supercharges.

We now consider what happens if the boundary condition is replaced by another possibility, instead of (4.16),

$$S_{\alpha 1}(\tau, 0) = -S_{\alpha 2}(\tau, 0), \quad S_{\alpha 1}(\tau, \pi) = S_{\alpha 2}(\tau, \pi). \tag{4.24}$$

In this case, the spinor coordinates defined again by (4.17) are anti-periodic $S_A(\tau, \sigma+2\pi) = -S_A(\tau, \sigma)$. The mass square operator is

$$\alpha' M^2_{GS-} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^i_{-n}\alpha^i_n + \sum_{r=1/2}^{\infty} r S_{-rA} S_{rA} - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{4.25}$$

which leads to the partition function (with fermion grading) (4.10) and hence exactly matches with the spectrum of the oppositely GSO projected states, as already discussed in the beginning of this subsection. Here we have used the standard notation: The modes of the antiperiodic spinor coordinates labeled by half integers are S_{rA}. The uncanceled zero-point energy $-1/2$ can be derived either from Lorentz invariance or the standard rule from the zeta-function regularization. Thus, the ground state is the scalar tachyon. The first excited states are $u_{A-}(k) S_{-1/2A}|0\rangle$ which are massless fermion states $k^2 = 0$. The subscript $-$ indicates that the fermion state should be interpreted as the $-$ chirality state with respect to SO(9,1), in contrast to the massless fermion states in the usual GS string which have $+$ chirality. The space-time handedness of the massless fermion states can be interpreted to be opposite to those appeared in the case of periodic boundary condition. This is due to the fact that the massless fermion states now come from the non-zero modes of the spinor coordinates. If the massless vector states of the ordinary GS open string is denoted by $|i\rangle$, the massless fermion states of the ordinary GSO projection, which come from the spinor zero modes of the periodic spinor coordinates and belong to the same super multiplet as the massless vector states, are given, using the SO(8) Dirac matrices $\gamma^i_{A\dot{A}}$, as $u_{\dot{A}+}\gamma^i_{A\dot{A}} S_{0A}|i\rangle$ where S_{0A} are the zero-mode of the spinor coordinates. The dependent components of the spinor wave function u_{A+} with nonzero momentum are given by the Dirac equation as $u_{A+} = -\gamma^i_{A\dot{A}} k^i u_{\dot{A}}/k^+$. From the 10 dimensional viewpoint, the wave functions $u_{A-}(k)$ as independent components of the spinor wave function have the opposite chiralities to $u_{\dot{A}+}(k)$ of even fermionic state. Thus we can now make a consistent interpretation that the usual GS string spectrum with periodic boundary condition and the new GS string spectrum with antiperiodic boundary condition corresponds to ordinarily and to oppositely GSO projected sectors, respectively, in the NSR formalism.

The conservation of supercharges is violated at the open-string boundary $\sigma = \pi$ for the opposite boundary condition.

$$\frac{dQ_A(\tau)}{d\tau} = -2(2p^+)^{1/2} S_A(\tau - \pi), \tag{4.26}$$

$$\frac{dQ_{\dot{A}}(\tau)}{d\tau} = -2(p^+)^{-1/2} \gamma^i_{A\dot{A}} p^i(\tau - \pi) S_A(\tau - \pi). \tag{4.27}$$

The right hand side of these equations, however, coincide with the zero-momentum limit of the fermion emission vertices of GS open string theory, just the same phenomena as in the NSR formalism. Note that the world-sheet conformal dimensions of these vertex operator are not equal to one. This is allowed in light-cone gauge, since we have already chosen a preferred conformal frame. In the covariant notation, the vertex operators at zero-momentum appearing in the right-hand side would be $p_\mu(z)\gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta(z)$ (apart from the overall normalization factor $S_A/\sqrt{p^+} \to \theta^\beta$) which has the correct transformation property as the source of a space-time massless spinor field, since the spinor coordinate is a world-sheet scalar before κ-gauge fixing. Since the fermion emitted by these vertices are the zero-momentum limit of the massless fermion state with the ordinary GSO projection (even fermionic G-parity), the form of the vertices coincides with that of the ordinary GS strings. The G-parity of the states does not change with the insertion of the corresponding vertices.

The next question is then how to describe the emission of states appeared in the opposite GS string, namely, the open string states with odd (both bosons and fermions) G-parity. It is clear that the corresponding vertices have to interchange the states between periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions for spinor coordinates $S_A(\tau, \sigma)$. In the case of the NSR formalism, the change of boundary condition was required to describe the emission of space-time fermions. For the emission of the oppositely GSO projected states, the boundary condition was preserved. The situation in the GS formalism is thus just reversed: the change of the boundary condition is necessary for describing the emission of odd G-parity states, not for the reason of the emission of space-time fermions. To achieve this, we have to introduce an operator which flips the boundary condition of the spinor coordinate, an analogue of the spin operator in the NSR formalism which changes the boundary condition of the vector Neveu-Schwarz coordinate. In contrast to the spin operator, it is basically a space-time boson, which we call the the 'vector operator', since it necessarily transforms as a space-time vector.

As is well known[‡], the 'triality' symmetry of the SO(8) group makes possible to establish a direct relation between the spinor and the vector representations through the bosonization of the spinor coordinate. This is achieved by introducing 4 scalar coordinate $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_4$ with the correlator $\langle \phi_i(z)\phi_j(w)\rangle = -\delta_{ij}\ln(z-w)$. The eight components of the spinor coordinates are given as

$$\{S_A\} = \{\mathrm{e}^{i\frac{1}{2}(\pm\phi_1\pm\phi_2\pm\phi_3\pm\phi_4)}\}, \tag{4.28}$$

where the number of minus sign is even.[§] Then the transverse vector operator $\psi_i\,(i = 1, \ldots, 8)$ can be defined by

$$\psi_{2i-1} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{e}^{i\phi_i} + \mathrm{e}^{-i\phi_i}), \quad \psi_{2i} = -i\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{e}^{i\phi_i} - \mathrm{e}^{-i\phi_i}). \tag{4.29}$$

We have used the same symbol ψ_i for the vector operator as the Neveu-Schwarz coordinates in the NSR formalism, since they can indeed be identified. Conversely, the spin operator in the light-cone gauge NSR formalism can be identified with the spinor coordinate of the GS formalism. Note that both of the spinor coordinate and the vector operator have conformal dimension 1/2. It is convenient to introduce also the spinor coordinates $S_{\dot{A}}$ in the $\mathbf{8}_c$ representation by

$$\{S_{\dot{A}}\} = \{\mathrm{e}^{i\frac{1}{2}(\pm\phi_1\pm\phi_2\pm\phi_3\pm\phi_4)}\}, \tag{4.30}$$

where the number of minus sign is now odd. Then by choosing the appropriate linear basis for the components of the spinor coordinates such that S_A are self-conjugate, analogously as that for the vector operator (4.29), the singular parts of the OPEs are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(z)S_A(w) &\sim (z-w)^{-1/2}\gamma^i_{A\dot{A}}S_{\dot{A}}(w), \\ S_A(z)S_{\dot{B}}(w) &\sim \frac{1}{2}(z-w)^{-1/2}\gamma^i_{A\dot{B}}\psi_i(w), \\ S_A(z)S_B(w) &\sim (z-w)^{-1}\delta_{AB}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

[‡] See *e. g.* the chapter 5 of [15].

[§] Here and in what follows we suppress the cocyle factors.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\dot{A}}(z)S_{\dot{B}}(w) &\sim (z-w)^{-1}\delta_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}, \\ \psi_i(z)\psi_j(w) &\sim (z-w)^{-1}\delta_{ij}, \quad etc. \end{aligned}$$

These OPEs show that the vector operator ψ_i indeed changes the boundary condition of the spinor coordinates between periodic and anti-periodic. It should also be noted that with the insertion of the vector operator the spinor coordinate S_A effectively behave as $S_{\dot{A}}$ which has the opposite chirality and hence directly couples with the independent component u_{A-} of the massless fermion state of odd fermionic G-parity.

We can now write down the vertex operators which describe the emission of the odd G-parity states from open GS strings, to be inserted at the string end points. For example the tachyon vertex operator is $k_i\psi_i\, \mathrm{e}^{ik_ix^i}$, assuming that the momentum is in the transverse direction. The fermion emission vertex operators for odd fermionic G-parity are obtained by interchanging the role of the original spinor coordinate S_A by $S_{\dot{A}}$ in the vertex operators for the ordinary GS string theory. In particular, their zero-momentum limits are $S_{\dot{A}}$ and $\gamma^i_{A\dot{B}}p^iS_{\dot{B}}(z)$. Thus the corresponding supercharges and currents are

$$\tilde{Q}_{\dot{A}}(\tau) = (2p^+)^{1/2}\int_0^\pi d\sigma(S_{\dot{A}}(\tau-\sigma)+S_{\dot{A}}(\tau+\sigma)), \tag{4.32}$$

$$\tilde{Q}_A(\tau) = (p^+)^{-1/2}\gamma^i_{A\dot{A}}\int_0^\pi d\sigma(p^i(\tau-\sigma)S_{\dot{A}}(\tau-\sigma)+p^i(\tau+\sigma)S_{\dot{A}}(\tau+\sigma)) \tag{4.33}$$

$$s^0_{\dot{A}}(\tau) = (2p^+)^{1/2}(S_{\dot{A}}(\tau-\sigma)+S_{\dot{A}}(\tau+\sigma)), \tag{4.34}$$

$$s^1_{\dot{A}}(\tau) = (2p^+)^{1/2}(S_{\dot{A}}(\tau-\sigma)-S_{\dot{A}}(\tau+\sigma)), \tag{4.35}$$

$$s^0_A(\tau) = (p^+)^{-1/2}\gamma^i_{A\dot{A}}(p^i(\tau-\sigma)S_{\dot{A}}(\tau-\sigma)+p^i(\tau+\sigma)S_{\dot{A}}(\tau+\sigma)), \tag{4.36}$$

$$s^1_A(\tau) = (p^+)^{-1/2}\gamma^i_{A\dot{A}}(p^i(\tau-\sigma)S_{\dot{A}}(\tau-\sigma)-p^i(\tau+\sigma)S_{\dot{A}}(\tau+\sigma)). \tag{4.37}$$

The spinor coordinate $S_{\dot{A}}$ is antiperiodic if and only if it acts either on the fermionic states of even G-parity (namely, the usual GS string) or on the bosonic states of odd G-parity, as exhibited in the OPEs. These properties are identical with the results in the NSR formalism. Thus we can interpret the 32-components supercharges $(Q_A, Q_{\dot{A}}, \tilde{Q}_{\dot{A}}, \tilde{Q}_A)$ of the extended GS formalism as the light-cone version of the $N=2$ (IIA) supercharge Q_α of the covariant NSR formalism.

5. Supersymmetry transformation law for string fields

The foregoing arguments provide a strong support for the existence of nonlinear $N = 2$ space-time symmetry in open superstring theory without the GSO projection. However, it is based on the standard world-sheet picture which is only first quantized. As an attempt toward a non-perturbative formulation, let us now turn to the discussion of space-time supersymmetry in the framework of string field theory. Although string-field theories are problematic with respect to the question whether they really provide a well-defined theory for nonperturbative physics in general, we can at least formally check that the mechanism found in the world-sheet picture leads to the correct behaviors for general multi-body states.

A natural starting point in this direction is Witten's open string field theory [16][17]. The action for the string field $A = (a, \psi)$ where a and ψ are string fields for NS and R sector, respectively, can be expressed, using the original notation of ref. [17], as

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int (a * Q_B a + \psi * Y Q_B \psi + \frac{2}{3} a * X(a * a) + 2\psi * a * \psi). \tag{5.1}$$

The Q_B is the BRST operator of the NSR open strings. The ghost number of the string fields is $\mathrm{gh}(a, \psi) = (-1/2, 0)$. The star product $*$ is a half-sewing operation of strings, which has ghost number $3/2 - 1 = 1/2$. The integral $\int$ is a self-sewing operation with ghost number $-3/2 + 1 = -1/2$. The BRST operator acts on the star products of the string fields as a graded derivation operator. The operators X and Y are the picture changing and inverse picture changing operators, respectively, satisfying $XY = YX = 1$, inserted at the string midpoint $\sigma = \pi/2$. Their ghost numbers are 1 and -1, respectively. If we bosonize the ghost fields appropriately, we can easily write down concrete expressions as the operators on string fields. The peculiar ghost numbers assigned to the definitions of the product and integral, explained by the ghost current anomaly, are just necessary to cancel the midpoint anomalies of the vertices against BRST and reparametrization symmetries, as demonstrated explicitly using the oscillator representations in several works [18]. The gauge transformation is defined to be

$$\delta a = Q_B \epsilon + X(a * \lambda - \lambda * a) + \psi * \chi - \chi * \psi \tag{5.2}$$

$$\delta \psi = Q_B \chi + X(a * \chi - \chi * a) + X(\psi * \lambda - \lambda * \psi) \tag{5.3}$$

Note that the gauge parameter fields $\Lambda = (\lambda, \chi)$ have ghost number $(-3/2, -1)$. Following Witten, it is convenient to introduce the following symbolic notation,

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \oint (A \star Q_B A + \frac{2}{3} A \star A \star A), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\delta A = Q_B A + A \star \Lambda - \Lambda \star A. \tag{5.5}$$

For establishing gauge symmetry, the cyclic symmetry property of the integral of the $*$ products is essential

$$\oint A \star B = (-1)^{|A||B|} \oint B \star A, \tag{5.6}$$

where $(-1)^{|A|} = \pm 1$ is the grading of the string fields which is defined by combining the space-time boson-fermion grading and the world-sheet grading. Thus it is necessary that all the string fields have definite gradings. In the case of bosonic string, we define the string fields with ghost number $-1/2$ are of odd grading $|A| = 1$. The odd grading is chosen to ensure the existence of the kinetic term : Since the BRST operator is odd, the cyclic symmetry of the integral leads to $\oint A \star Q_B A = \oint (Q_B A) \star A$ which must be consistent with $0 = \oint Q_B(A \star A) = \oint ((Q_B A) \star A + (-1)^{|A|} A \star Q_B A)$ corresponding to the derivation property of the BRST operator. This requires that the string field A has odd grading. Then, all the string fields of ghost number $2n - 1/2$ have odd grading and those with ghost number $2n + 1/2$ have even grading. For example, the gauge parameter with ghost number $-3/2$ is even, and hence gauge invariance follows. We note that the integral is nonvanishing only if the total grading of the integrand is odd. Thus in the cyclic symmetry property (5.6), $(-1)^{|A||B|} = 1$.

For the NSR strings, we again require that both the NS and R fields have odd grading for the existence of the kinetic term. Thus the gauge parameters ϵ, χ are even. In other words, since the coefficient fields for them are respectively space-time bosons and fermions, this amounts to requiring that the world-sheet states have opposite but definite world-sheet gradings, odd and even, respectively. Then, for the NS fields with fixed total ghost number $-1/2$ we have to impose that $(-1)^{N_\psi + N_{\gamma-\beta}}$ have always the same sign for the excitations of the world sheet spinor field $\psi_\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ and the superghost fields $\beta(\tau, \sigma), \gamma(\tau, \sigma)$. For the R fields with ghost number 0 and whose world-sheet grading is defined by $(-1)^{N'_\psi} \gamma_{11}$ where N'_ψ is the number operator of the world-sheet spinor excluding the zero-mode, we have to require that $(-1)^{N'_\psi - N_{\gamma-\beta}} \gamma_{11}$ has the same sign for all the components. These conditions are satisfied if we make the ordinary GSO projection $(-1)^{N_\psi+N_{\gamma-\beta}}=1$ and $(-1)^{N'_\psi-N_{\gamma-\beta}}\gamma_{11}=1$, for the NS and R fields respectively.

This is the standard formulation of the open superstring field theory. Actually, there is a potential problem in these formal arguments. Namely, the mid-point insertion of picture changing operator causes a problem in proving the gauge invariance to order g^2, since the product of X at the same world-sheet position is singular. For the moment, we neglect this problem and try to extend the construction to include the string fields with opposite GSO projection.

Let us denote the string field with the ordinary GSO projection by (a_+, ψ_+) and those with the opposite projection by (a_-, ψ_-), respectively. The above discussion shows an immediate difficulty in including the fields with odd G-parity. Namely, they obviously have opposite relative gradings compared with the ordinary GSO projection. Thus the requirement that the string fields must be of odd grading is no more satisfied, *if* the vacuum for both case is common and hence is of the same (even) grading. In particular, we cannot express the kinetic term for the odd G-parity fields in Witten form. Remember however that the kinetic terms of the standard scalar product form $\langle a|Q_B|a\rangle$ exist for both gradings, assuming appropriate reality condition. To express the action in Witten form, we are forced to assume that the Fock vacuum on which we construct the odd G-parity string fields in terms of oscillators have the opposite grading compared with the ordinary projection with even G parity. Since the string fields with different G-parity can be regarded as being independent of each other, this can be done consistently if we take into account the conservation of G-parity, by introducing a G-parity coordinates ϱ which is even and satisfies $\varrho^2=1$. The integration rule is $\int_G 1=1, \int_G \varrho=0$. We assign ϱ to odd G-parity string fields, such that only even number of odd G-parity fields can appear in the action. Grading of the string fields is always odd, irrespectively of G-parity in this convention. Also we can consistently set the Fock vacuum obtained from the product of two odd G-parity vacua is even. Of course, the product of one odd and one even vacua is odd.

We denote the extended integration operation using the same original notation $\int \times \int_G \to \int$. The extended integration is again nonvanishing only if the integrand is odd. Using this convention, the standard kinetic term is represented in the Witten form $\int A \star Q_B A =$

$\langle a_+|Q_B|a_+\rangle + \langle\psi_+|YQ_B|\psi_+\rangle + \langle a_-|Q_B|a_-0\rangle + \langle\psi_-|YQ_B|\psi_-\rangle$. Thus we can unify the even and odd G-parity fields and denote them as $A = (a, \psi) = (a_+ + \varrho a_-, \psi_+ + \varrho\psi_-)$. which is of grading odd as a whole. Hence, the same form (5.1) or (5.4) for the action is valid, with gauge transformation being extended to both G-parities by defining the extended gauge parameter field $\Lambda = (\lambda_+ + \varrho\lambda_-, \chi_+ + \varrho\chi_-)$.

We can now proceed to investigate the space-time supersymmetry. The natural candidate for the supersymmetry generator is the zero-momentum fermion vertex operator (4.1). Witten's ansatz which has correct ghost number and grading is

$$\delta a = \epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha \psi, \quad \delta\psi = X(\frac{1}{2}\pi)\epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha a. \tag{5.7}$$

To show the invariance of the action under this transformation, we need that Q_α satisfies

$$\oint \epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha A = 0, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha (A \star A) = (\epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha A) \star A + A \star \epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha A. \tag{5.9}$$

These are sufficient for proving the invariance of the cubic interaction term. They are indeed satisfied, as explicitly checked in the oscillator representation in ref. [19] for the case of ordinary GSO projected formalism. In our case with the oppositely projected sectors, we have to take into account the G-parity coordinate ϱ to ensure the correct grading and G-parity properties in establishing (5.8) and (5.9). The super parameter ϵ^α, which of course is of odd grading, should also be decomposed as $\epsilon^\alpha = \epsilon^\alpha_+ + \varrho\epsilon^\alpha_-$ where $\epsilon^\alpha_\pm$ are the 10 dimensional Weyl spinors with positive and negative fermionic G-parity, respectively. Since, apart from the grading, only essential requirement for the validity of these relations is that the supercharge is an integral along the strings of the current operator which is not too singular with respect to the midpoint insertions. Since the latter property of the world-sheet supercurrent, being ensured by the conformal dimensions of the operators, is not affected by the opposite projection, we can conclude that they are satisfied with the existence of both projections as equally well as in the case of the ordinary projection. Thus the cubic terms alone have linear space-time supersymmetry.

To show the supersymmetry of the quadratic kinetic term, it is necessary to use the fact that the supercharge is BRST invariant in addition to the above properties. It is at this point where a crucial difference of our case from the theory with the ordinary projection arises. The commutation relation of the fermion emission vertex and the BRST operator is a total derivative,

$$\{Q_B, V^F_\alpha(z)\} = \partial_z(c(z)V^F_\alpha(z)). \tag{5.10}$$

Thus supercharge is BRST invariant if and only if the fermion emission operator is single-valued. If it is double-valued, we have, using the same convention for open string notations ($\tau = 0$) as in subsection 4.1,

$$[Q_B, \epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha] = -c\epsilon^\alpha V^F_\alpha(\pi) + c\epsilon^\alpha V^F_\alpha(-\pi) = -2c\epsilon^\alpha V^F_\alpha(\pi). \tag{5.11}$$

This is just the manifestation of the same phenomena which we have been discussing in previous sections on the basis of the first quantized world-sheet picture. In terms of string field theory, the insertion of fermion emission vertices must equivalently be described by an appropriate shift of the string field.

The variation of the quadratic term under the linear super transformation is

$$\delta \oint A \star Q_B A = 2 \int \psi * [Q_B, \epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha] a. \tag{5.12}$$

The right-hand side is nonvanishing if and only if the NS string field a is in the odd G-parity sector and hence the fermionic G-parity of the R field ψ is opposite to that of the parameter of supersymmetry transformation. The result is of course identical with world-sheet approach. Here we have used the fact that the picture changing operators satisfy $XY(\pi/2) = 1$ and commutative with both BRST operator and the supercharge. The commutativity of the picture changing operator with the supercharge is valid even if the fermion emission vertex is double-valued since it commutes with the ghost variable ξ : $[Q_\alpha, X] = [Q_\alpha, \{Q_B, \xi\}] = \{2cV^F(\pi), \xi\} = 0$. This violation of BRST invariance is canceled if we shift the fermionic string field as

$$\delta_{shift}\psi = \epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha Q_L \mathcal{I}, \tag{5.13}$$

where Q_L (and Q_R) is the 'half' BRST operator which is defined by integrating over the left (right) half of the open string $Q_B = Q_R + Q_L$. Also we have introduced the 'identity' string field $\mathcal{I}$ as introduced in [20], which is characterized by

$$\mathcal{I} \star A = A \star \mathcal{I} = A, \quad \forall A \tag{5.14}$$

and has ghost number $-1/2$, due to the midpoint insertion. Note that the string field $Q_L\mathcal{I}$ is odd. Some other useful properties of these operators are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_B\mathcal{I} &= 0, && (5.15)\\ Q_\alpha\mathcal{I} &= 0, && (5.16)\\ (Q_RA)\star B &= -(-1)^{|A|}A\star Q_LB, && (5.17)\\ \{Q_B,Q_L\} &= \{Q_B,Q_R\}=0. && (5.18)\end{aligned}$$

Using these relations we derive

$$\delta_{shift}\int\psi * a * \psi = -\int\psi * [Q_B,\epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha]a, \tag{5.19}$$

$$\delta_{shift}\int\psi * YQ_B\psi = -\int Q_BY\epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha Q_B\psi = 0. \tag{5.20}$$

This shows that the total action (5.1) is invariant under the nonlinear supersymmetry transformation obtained by combining (5.7) and $\delta_{shift}\psi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta a &= \epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha\psi, && (5.21)\\ \delta\psi &= \epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha Q_L\mathcal{I} + X(\frac{\pi}{2})\epsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha a. && (5.22)\end{aligned}$$

We recognize that the first term in (5.22) can actually be absorbed into the second term by making the following field redefinition,

$$a + Y(\frac{\pi}{2})Q_I\mathcal{I}\rightarrow a \tag{5.23}$$

which simultaneously eliminates the quadratic terms from the action. This property is a direct consequence of the fact that the string field action can be derived from the action with only the purely cubic interaction terms [20] by making the field redefinition $a\rightarrow a+Y(\frac{\pi}{2})Q_I\mathcal{I}$. Therefore the above nonlinear (inhomogeous) transformation law is simply a corollary of the supersymmetry of the cubic interaction terms under the linear supersymmetry transformation.

In this way, all the results of the present section nicely fit to what we have derived in the world-sheet approach. The existence of purely cubic action is a natural consequence of the general fact that in the world-sheet approach, especially in its covariant version, the free motion and the interactions of strings are one and the same thing from the viewpoint of the local world-sheet dynamics. In fact, that was the original motivation for the cubic action conjecture [21][20][22].

6. Discussions

Problems of contact terms

As we have already mentioned during the discussions of string-field theory approach, there is the subtle question of possible contact terms [23] beyond 3-point interactions. This is basically due to singularities caused by the midpoint insertion of picture changing operators. For a recent discussion on this problem, see ref. [24] and references therein. If the higher contact terms are really inescapable, the formal transformation law given in section 5 might also be subject to corrections which are at least quadratic in string fields. The investigation along this direction is beyond the scope of the present paper. Our arguments using the ordinary world-sheet approach already provided reasonable evidence that there exists the $N = 2$ space-time supersymmetry. The problem of contact terms might be an indication that we should seek for other more tractable approach to formulate the nonperturbative physics of string theory than the traditional string-field theory approach.

Chan-Paton factor

It is straightforward to include the Chan-Paton factor. Let us only mention the case of string field theory. For unstable N D9-branes of type IIA theory, the GSO projection is not done at all and hence we simply extend all the string fields to be hermitian $N \times N$ matrices which transform in the adjoint representation of U(N) group,

$$a \to a_{+,ij} + \varrho a_{-,ij}, \quad \psi \to \psi_{+,ij} + \varrho \psi_{-,ij}.$$

The star multiplication of the string fields is supplemented by the matrix multiplication and the integration by the trace operation. Note that the supersymmetry parameter must be singlet under the gauge group. Corresponding to the existence of both G-parity fields, we have IIA type supersymmetry.

In type IIB theory, we consider the systems with equal number of D9 and anti-D9 branes to cancel the tadpole anomaly coming from the RR 10 form potential. For strings which connect D9-branes only within the D9-branes or only within the anti D9-branes, the ordinary GSO projection is assumed, while for strings which connect D9 and anti-D9 branes the opposite GSO projection is assumed. Therefore, the inclusion of Chan-Paton factor is represented by the matrix string fields of the following form, which transform as the adjoint representation of U(N)×U(N) group,

$$a \to \begin{pmatrix} a_{+,ij} & \varrho\, a_{-,ik} \\ \varrho\, a^{\dagger}_{-,\ell j} & \tilde{a}_{+,\ell k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi \to \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{+,ij} & \varrho\, \psi_{-,ik} \\ \varrho\, \psi^{\dagger}_{-,\ell j} & \tilde{\psi}_{+,\ell k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Actually, our discussions in previous sections must be subject to a small change in type IIB case. As shown in the above assignment of the opposite G-parity fields, the transformation laws of the string fields with respect to the group U(N)× U(N) are different for the positive and negative G-parity fields. To be consisitent with the gauge symmetry, the supersymmetry transformation must be singlet under the gauge group. This forbids the supersymmetry parameter with negative G-parity. However, there are now two independent supersymmetry parameters ϵ_+ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_+$, both of which belong to the same positive chirality corresponding to the zero-momentum limit of the two positive G-parity open string fields $\psi_{+,ij}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{+,\ell k}$. This leads to a chiral $N = 2$ supersymmetry as it should be.

Condensation of tachyon

An important future problem is to investigate the tachyon condensation in light of our arguments. There is already a discussion by Sen [6](see also a more recent work by Sen and Zwiebach [26] based on some old works [27]) of tachyon condensation from the viewpoint of string field theory. We do not have much to say in the present paper other than what we have already remarked. However, let us look at the concrete form of the field equation which we have to solve. It is sufficient to study the problem after making the field redefinition (5.23). The field equations are then, neglecting the possible higher contact terms and integrating over the G-parity coorinates,

$$a_+ * a_+ + a_- * a_- = 0,$$

$$a_- * a_+ + a_+ * a_- = 0,$$

$$a_+ * \psi_+ + \psi_+ * a_+ + a_- * \psi_- + \psi_- * a_- = 0,$$

$$a_- * \psi_+ + \psi_- * a_+ + a_+ * \psi_- + \psi_+ * a_- = 0.$$

The condensation of tachyon corresponds to nonzero a_-. Also, the supersymmetric (and/or BPS) solutions with vanishing fermion backgrounds must satisfy

$$\epsilon^{\alpha} Q_{\alpha} a = 0$$

for certain constant spinor ϵ^α. It is important to note that the solutions described by these equations do not have the ordinary kinetic term in the action. Thus even if some of the gauge symmetry remains unbroken after the tachyon condensation, there is in general no propagation of massless fields corresponding to unbroken gauge symmetry. Instead, the propagating modes must be determined anew on the new background defined by the solutions themselves. This conforms to some related observations made in [5][25] and seems to indicate the resolution of a puzzle [3] associated with the K-theoretical interpretation of D-branes. Remember also that this phenomenon has already been anticipated in a motivating discussion in section 2. The condensation of tachyon makes the supersymmetry transformation linear and simultaneously eliminates the kinetic term. It is interesting to investigate how to represent this situation in a low-energy field-theory approximation. Work along this direction is in progress and will be reported in a forthcoming paper [28].

Finally, one of the fundamental problems related to the subject of the present work is to establish connections with the ordinary closed-string approach of type II string theory. That would not only lead to a new unexpected connection among different field theories in the low-energy limit, but also provide an important clue towards the dynamical formulation of M-theory.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his colleagues, especially M. Kato and Y. Kazama, for stimulating conversations related to the present work. The present work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 09640337) and Grant-in-Aid for International Scientific Research (Joint Research, No. 10044061) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

References

[1] F. Gliozzi, D.Olive, and J. Scherk, Nucl. Phys. B122 (1977) 253.

[2] A. Sen, JHEP 08 (1998) 010; JHEP 08 (1998) 012.

[3] E. Witten, JHEP 12 (1998) 019.

[4] P. Horava, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1999) 1372.

[5] A. Sen, JHEP 10 (1999) 008.

[6] A. Sen, hep-th/9911116.

[7] S. Ferrara, L. Girardello, and F. Palumbo, Phys. Rev. D20 (1979) 403.

[8] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75(1995) 4724.

[9] T. Yoneya, Prog. Thoer. Phys. 48 (1972) 616

[10] T. Yoneya, Nuovo. Cimento 27A (1975), 440 ; Prog. Theor. Phys. 56 (1976) 1310; Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 1828.

[11] N .Seiberg and E. Witten, hep-th/9908142.

[12] D. Friedan, E. Martinec, and S Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B271 (1986) 93.

[13] M. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B181 (1981) 502; Nucl. Phys. B198 (1982) 252.

[14] M. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. 136B (1984), 367.

[15] M. Green, J. H. Schwarz, and E. Witten, Superstring theory I, Cambridge Univ. Press (1987).

[16] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B268 (1986) 79.

[17] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B276 (1986) 291.

[18] D. Gross and A. Jevicki, Nucl. Phys. B293 (1987) 29.
S. Samuel, B296 (1987) 187.
K.Suehiro, Nucl. Phys. B296 (1987) 333.

[19] A. Jevicki and B. Sazdović, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 188 (1988) 347.

[20] G. T. Horowitz, J. Lykken, R. Rohm, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 283.
M. Awada, Phys. Lett. 188 (1987) 421.

[21] T. Yoneya, talk at Workshop on Unified Theories hold at YITP, kyoto, 1985 and talk at Seventh Workshop on Grand Unification ICOBAN '86 (Toyama, Japan, 1986), published in Proc. ICOBAN'86 (World Scientific, 1987).
D. Friedan, Chicago Report EFI 85-27, 1985.

[22] H. Hata, K. Ito, T. Kugo, H. Kunitomo and K. Ogawa, Phys. Lett. 175B (1986)138.

[23] C. Wendt, Nucl. Phys. B314 (1989) 209.

[24] N. Berkovits and C. T. Echevarria, hep-th/9912120.

[25] P. Yi, Nucl. Phys. B550 (1999) 214.

[26] A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, hep-th/9912249.

[27] See, *e.g*, V. A. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, Nucl. Phys. B 336 (1990) 263.

[28] T. Hara and T. Yoneya, in preparation.